Tusamitamab ravtansine induces immunogenic cell death and synergizes with anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 antibody combination in solid tumor

<u>Céline Nicolazzi¹, Anne-Marie Lefebvre², Nicolas Moindrot¹, Christelle Larois², Marion Classe², Sukhvinder Sidhu¹</u> ¹Sanofi Oncology R&D, Vitry-sur-Seine, France; ²Sanofi, Molecular and Digital Histopathology Precision Medicine & Computational Biology, Vitry-sur-Seine, France

INTRODUCTION

- Carcinoembryonic antigen cell adhesion molecule 5, CEACAM5, is highly expressed on the cell surface of several epithelial tumors including colorectal cancer (CRC), pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), gastric cancer (GC) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)¹.
- > Tusamitamab ravtansine (also called SAR408701) is an antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) targeted against CEACAM5 and delivering DM4, a maytansinoid cytotoxic. SAR408701 has shown antitumor efficacy in CEACAM5-expressing tumoral cell lines and patient-derived xenograft models^{2,3}. It is currently being evaluated in patients with advanced CEACAM5-positive non-squamous (NSQ) NSCLC, in a phase II study in combination with pembrolizumab, and a phase III trial as single agent in comparison with docetaxel.
- In this study we investigated the ability of tusamitamab ravtansine to induce immunogenic cell death (ICD) and if it could be beneficially combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors

tusamitamab ravtansine/SAR408701 structure



BACKGROUND



Preclinical and clinical investigations have shown that several cytotoxic agents currently utilized as payloads for antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) have the ability to induce immunogenic cell death (ICD) of tumor cells and directly activate dendritic cells (DCs).Cytotoxic agents with these properties are therefore attractive candidates for combination with IO therapeutic agents.

Challenges for *in vivo* ICD determination and combination studies between ADC and immune checkpoint inhibitors

A fully immunocompetent environment is required for the investigations of ICD - Use of syngeneic tumors in immunocompetent mice

CEACAM5 ADC targets human CEACAM5 protein which is not expressed in rodents HuCEACAM5 engineered murine tumors do not grow in immunocompetent mice

Need to work with irrelevant ADC conditions ADC will be administered at a high dose to exploit the enhanced permeability and retention effect observed in solid tumors subcutaneously implanted in mice. This leads to an improved delivery of macromolecular drugs to tumor site. Dose can be modulated to obtain different levels of antitumoral activity (inactivity, activity and/or high activity)



RESULTS

Operational determination of immunogenic cell death (ICD) by vaccination/rechallenge assay



The degree of immunogenicity of cell death induced by the cytotoxic under evaluation was reflected by the proportion of mice that do not develop subcutaneous tumors

Percentage of tumor-free mice following re-challenge with live CT26 cells



> Tusamitamab ravtansine payload, DM4 induces robust immunogenic cell death. CT26 cells cultured in presence of indicated drug were injected into the left flank of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice; this was followed by injection of live tumor cells into the right flank a week later. Tumor incidence post-rechallenge was monitored for 60 days. Results are expressed in percentage of tumor-free mice following re-challenge with live CT26 cells. DM4 shows the same ability to induce ICD as doxorubicin (used as positive control).

Evaluation of HMGB1 release by DM4-treated CT26 cells



> Tusamitamab ravtansine payload. DM4 induces the release of danger signals by CT26 cells. Left panel, Cytokines involved in ICD induction; Right panel, CT26 cells were incubated 24h with indicated drugs and HMGB1 was dosed from supernatant by ELISA. DM4 at 1 µM induces the release of HMGB1 by CT26.



> Implantation in mice of DM4-treated CT-26 cells induces an increase of plasmatic **murine IL6 level.** Left panel, Cytokines involved in ICD induction; Right panel, CT26 tumor cells cultured in presence of indicated drug, were injected into the left flank of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice in the same conditions than for vaccination assay; blood was sampled 4h later and plasmatic murine cytokine levels were dosed by MSD assay. Implantation of DM4-treated CT-26 cells displays increase in plasmatic IL-6 level, but no change for IFN β and TNF α cytokines.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The contributions of the following Sanofi employees are greatly appreciated: Alison Letellier, Laure-Marie Meyer, Anna Ponchet-Lac, Alexandre Rohaut and Ravi Rangara pharmacologists who performed in vivo studies; Helen Stephan, Senior counsel, from Global Intellectual Property Department for the revision of the manuscript.

DISCLOSURES: Employees

60% of mice vaccinated by DM4eated CT26 cells do not develop sc tumors





> Tusamitamab ravtansine is able to induce a dose-dependent activity in MC38 tumor in non-specific conditions. MC38 cells were injected into the flank of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice. After tumor establishment (10 days), SAR408701 (tusamitamab ravtansine) was administered at 15 and 25 mg/kg following a single IV administration. SAR408701 leads to improved delivery of macromolecular drugs to the tumor site by exploiting the enhanced permeability and retention effect observed for solid tumors subcutaneously implanted in mice. Left panel, tumor volume evolution over time: Right panel, bars representing relative cell death area in the tumor (determined using Hematoxylin/Eosin/Saffron staining from tumors sampled 96h post ADC treatment).

Murine cytokine levels after tusamitamab ravtansine treatment in mice bearing MC38 tumor



Tusamitamab ravtansine treatment induces changes in murine cytokine levels in mice bearing MC38 tumor. MC38 cells were injected into the flank of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice. After tumor establishment (10 days) mice were treated IV by SAR408701 at 25mg/kg. Blood and tumors were sampled 4h, 24h, 48h, 72h, 96h after. Plasmatic and intra-tumor murine cytokine levels were dosed by MSD assay. Graphs represent plasmatic (left) and intra-tumoral (right) levels of murine cytokines. Increases in plasmatic IL6 was observed 24h post treatment by SAR408701 while IFN β and TNF α increased are observed 96h post treatment. Intratumorally, slight increases in all cytokines are observed at each sampling time.



Tusamitamab ravtansine induces a dose-dependent increase in cytotoxic T-cells and a dose-dependent decrease of Tregs and B cells in MC38 tumor. MC38 cells were injected into the flank of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice. After tumor establishment, mice were treated IV by SAR408701 at 15 (inactive dose) and 25 mg/kg (active dose). Tumors were sampled 96h post treatment. The intra-tumoral lymphocyte population was detected by CD20+, CD4+, CD8+, FoxP3+ by immunostaining 4-plex (Ventana Disco ULTRA) and the density of the different cell populations was measured. Graphs represent tumoral density of CD8+ T-Cells, CD4+FOXP3+, CD4+FOXP3- and CD20+ lymphocytes cells. SAR408701 treatment induced an increase in tumor infiltrating cytotoxic T-cells with a decrease in Treg cells and B cells.

In vivo evaluation of tusamitamab ravtansine in combination with IO mono-therapies in MC38 and CT26 tumor models

ICi mAb	
ICi activity	
SAR408701, Dose active	3F
SAR408701,	

models

ICi mAb	
ICi activity	
SAR408701,	
Dose active	1
SAR408701,	
Dose inactive	

Tusamitamab ravtansine synergizes with IO dual-therapies in IO sensitive MC38 and CT26 tumor model MC38 or CT26 cells were injected into the flank of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice. After tumor establishment, SAR408701 was treated at 15 and 25 mg/kg following a single IV administration (black arrows), and PD-L1 or PD-1 mAbs were treated at 5 (MC38) or 10 mg/kg (CT26) of PD-L1 + CTLA-4 or PD-1 + CTLA-4 mAbs following 4 biweekly IP administration (grey arrows). Left panel, table summarizing all tested combinations, Right panel, An example of SAR408701 activity as single agent or in combination. Combinations resulted in significantly better antitumor activity than single agent arms.

- IL6 level
- Tusamitamab ravtansine induced a dose-dependent anti-tumoral activity in murine MC38 tumor in non-specific conditions
- Tusamitamab ravtansine induced changes in murine cytokine levels in blood and tumor in mice bearing MC38 tumor cells

Céline Nicolazzi, Anne-Marie Lefebvre, Nicolas Moindrot, Christelle Larois, Marion Classe, Sukhvinder Sidhu are Sanofi R&D

- REFERENCES:
- 3. Decary S. et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2020;26(24):6589-6599.
- 4. Gerber H-P. et al. Biochemical Pharmacology. 2016;102:1-6

Poster # 3740



 Tusamitamab ravtansine synergizes with IO mono-therapies in IO sensitive MC38 tumor model. MC38 or CT26 cells were injected into the flank of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice. After tumor establishment. SAR408701 was treated at 15 and 25 mg/kg following a single IV administration (black arrows), and PD-L1 or PD-1 mAbs were treated at 10 mg/kg following 4 biweekly IP administration (grey arrows). Murine environment with no huCEACAM5 expression. Left panel, table summarizing all tested combinations, Right panel, An example of SAR408701 activity as single agent or in combination. Combinations resulted in significantly better antitumor activity than single agent arms.

In vivo evaluation of tusamitamab ravtansine in combination with IO dual-therapies in MC38 and CT26 tumor



CONCLUSIONS

- Tusamitamab ravtansine payload, DM4 induced robust immunogenic cell death
- DM4 induced the release of danger signals by CT26 cells
- Implantation of DM4-treated CT26 cells in mice induced an increase of plasmatic murine
- Tusamitamab ravtansine induced a dose-dependent increase of cytotoxic T-cell and a dose-dependent decrease of Tregs and B cells in MC38 tumor
- Combination of tusamitamab ravtansine with anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 antibody led to complete responses and, even, tumor-free survivors at 120 days post tumor implantation while single agents werre inactive or moderately active

These preclinical data show that tusamitamab ravtansine can induce robust ICD and could be combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors in clinic

1. Hammarstrom S. et al. Semin Cancer Biol. 1999;9:67-81 2. Decary S. et al. Poster AACR 2020. Cancer Res 2020;80(16 Suppl):561. 5. Wong A-D. et al. PLOS ONE. 2015;10(5):e0123461. 6. Keep O. et al. Oncolmmunology. 2014;3(9):e955691 7. Showalter A. et al. Cytokine. 2017; 97:123-132.